

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,364	09/14/2004	Ramgopal Darolia	152967	5363
30952 7	7590 06/21/2006		EXAMINER	
HARTMAN AND HARTMAN, P.C. 552 EAST 700 NORTH			IVEY, ELIZABETH D	
VAIPARAISO	- · ·		152967 EXA IVEY, EI ART UNIT 1775	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A multipation At				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/711,364	DAROLIA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Elizabeth Ivey	1775			
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUT WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the r - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or e	R, FROM THE MAILING D. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 nailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statute ater than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON g date of this communication, even if timely file	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 16 F	ebruary 2006.	•			
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	· · · _	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application	,—					
closed in accordan	ce with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above class 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/a	e rejected.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not rec Replacement drawing	quest that any objection to the g sheet(s) including the correct	er. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S tion is required if the drawing(s) is d caminer. Note the attached Offic	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19					
12) Acknowledgment is a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of the application fr	made of a claim for foreign c) None of: ies of the priority document c certified copies of the prior om the International Burear	s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	NTO 802)	A) [] Intention Comme	(DTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (F Notice of Draftsperson's Pate Information Disclosure Staten Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/04 a</u> 	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a thermal barrier coating, classified in class 428, subclass699.

II. Claims 20-43, drawn to a method of depositing a thermal barrier coating, classified in class 427, subclass 248.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product may be made by a materially different process such as applying a coating to a mold, applying a substrate to the coating in the mold and lifting the coating and the substrate from the mold.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Gary Hartman on February 24, 2006 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 20-43 are

Art Unit: 1775

withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO99/35306 to Marijnissen et al.

Regarding claims 1, 4-6, 8-10, 12, 15, 17 and 18, Marijnissen discloses a multilayered columnar yttria, ceria, calcia scandia or lanthana or mixtures thereof stabilized zirconia (ceramic) thermal barrier coating on a turbine engine component such as a blade or vane. This structure includes inner, outer and interior layers. The engine component may or may not have a MAIY, MCrAIY, or aluminide (metallic) bond coat. Marijnissen discloses the columnar ceramic layers to have different grain orientation directions that may form a herringbone structure that modulates columns in parallel between inner and outer regions (page 6 lines 19-31, page 7 lines 16-18, page 7 lines 22-29, page 8 lines 5-7 and 15-16 and page 22 line 18).

Art Unit: 1775

Regarding claims 2 and 13, Marijnissen discloses addition of layers by just changing the orientation of the article (substrate) relative to a target during deposition, thereby creating layers without discontinuous columns or discrete layers separated by interfaces (page 7 lines 1-13).

Regarding claims 3 and 14, Marijnissen discloses parallel columnar patterns such as a herringbone pattern, which produces columns that are equally spaced throughout all of the layer regions (page 7 lines 22-25).

Regarding claims 7 and 16, Marijnissen discloses columnar patterns such as a herringbone pattern produce a thermal barrier coating with a lower thermal conductivity (page 21 line 30 – page 22 line 1).

Regarding claims 11 and 19, Marijnissen discloses thermal barrier coating on a turbine engine component such as a blade or vane and although it is not explicitly stated, it includes the leading edge.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,876,860 to Marijnissen et al.

Regarding claims 1, 4-6, 8-10, 12, 15, 17 and 18, Marijnissen discloses a multilayered columnar yttria, ceria, calcia scandia or lanthana or mixtures thereof stabilized zirconia (ceramic) thermal barrier coating on a turbine engine component such as a blade or vane. This structure

Page 5

includes inner, outer and interior layers. The engine component may or may not have a MAIY, MCrAlY, or aluminide (metallic) bond coat. Marijnissen discloses the columnar ceramic layers to have different grain orientation directions that may form a herringbone structure that modulates columns in parallel between inner and outer regions (column 4 lines 53-67, column 5 lines 7-52, column 15 lines 64-65).

Regarding claims 2 and 13, Marijnissen '860 discloses addition of layers by just changing the orientation of the article (substrate) relative to a target during deposition, thereby creating layers without discontinuous columns or discrete layers separated by interfaces (column 5 lines 7-52).

Regarding claims 3 and 14, Marijnissen '860 discloses parallel columnar patterns such as a herringbone pattern, which produces columns that are equally spaced throughout all of the layer regions (column 4 lines 63-67).

Regarding claims 7 and 16, Marijnissen '860 discloses columnar patterns such as a herringbone pattern produce a thermal barrier coating with a lower thermal conductivity (column 15 lines 34-37).

Regarding claims 11 and 19, Marijnissen '860 discloses thermal barrier coating on a turbine engine component such as a blade or vane and although it is not explicitly stated, it includes the leading edge (column 15 lines 64-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 6

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 11 and 19 are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,455,173 B1 to Marijnissen et al. as applied to claims 1, 8, 10 and 12 above in view of U.S. Patent 6,126,400 to Nichols et al.

Regarding claims 11 and 19, Marijnissen discloses all of the limitations of claims 1, 8, 10 and 12 but does not explicitly state the coating is specifically on the leading edge of the component. However Nichols discloses airfoils with ceramic thermal barrier coatings and specifically discloses the ceramic coating is applied to the leading edge of airfoils in order to improve the thermal performance of the airfoil and allow it to operate at higher temperatures. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Page 7

the invention to apply the thermal barrier of Marijnissen to the leading edge of the airfoils in either a blade or vane.

Claims 11 and 19 are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,876,860 to Marijnissen et al. as applied to claims 1, 8, 10 and 12 above in view of U.S. Patent 6,126,400 to Nichols et al.

Regarding claims 11 and 19, Marijnissen '860 discloses all of the limitations of claims 1, 8, 10 and 12 but does not explicitly state the coating is specifically on the leading edge of the component. However Nichols discloses airfoils with ceramic thermal barrier coatings and specifically discloses the ceramic coating is applied to the leading edge of airfoils in order to improve the thermal performance of the airfoil and allow it to operate at higher temperatures. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the thermal barrier of Marijnissen to the leading edge of the airfoils in either a blade or vane.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Ivey whose telephone number is (571) 272-8432. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00- 4:30 M-Th and 7:00-3:30 alt. Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,364 Page 8

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elizabeth D. Ivey

JENNIFER C. MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER